

Classification of the $GL(3)$ Quantum Matrix Groups

Holger Ewen*

Sektion Physik, Universität München
Theresienstr. 37, D-80333 München, Germany

Oleg Ogievetsky[†]

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik
Föhringer Ring 6, D-80805 München, Germany

Abstract. We define quantum matrix groups $GL(3)$ by their coaction on appropriate quantum planes and the requirement that the Poincaré series coincides with the classical one. It is shown that this implies the existence of a Yang-Baxter operator. Exploiting stronger equations arising at degree four of the algebra, we classify all quantum matrix groups $GL(3)$. We find 26 classes of solutions, two of which do not admit a normal ordering. The corresponding R-matrices are given.

Introduction

In addition to the standard deformation of $GL(3)$, in the past a few nonstandard R-matrices were discovered which define quantum groups of $GL(3)$ type. These are for example the multiparameter deformation [13, 7] and the Jordanian deformation [4]. Both turn out to be related to the standard deformation. The former is connected to it by the twisting procedure [12], but requires the knowledge of the appropriate twisting operator. The latter is a limiting case of the standard deformation [11]. Lacking a more constructive procedure, attempts have been made to find the general solution to the classification problem by ‘equation crunching’, using the Yang-Baxter equation as a starting point. This has been done successfully in 2 dimensions [9], but is feasible in 3 dimensions only with the special ansatz of upper triangularity for the R-matrix [10]. These methods give R-matrices of all possible projector decompositions, whereas for $GL(3)$ the R-matrix has to be a sum

*email: hoe@mppmu.mpg.de

[†]on leave of absence from N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Theoretical Department, Leninsky prospect 53, 117924 Moscow, Russia

of two complementary projectors. They give rise to the definition of even and odd quantum planes whose coordinates have commutation relations determined by the two projectors. The rank of the projectors corresponds to the dimension of these coordinate algebras at degree two.

In the case of $GL(3)$ it is natural to define a quantum matrix group not by a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation but by its (co-) action on the appropriate quantum planes, together with the requirement that the Poincaré series of the algebra generated by the matrix elements coincides with that of the classical case. It will be shown that these demands imply the existence of an R-matrix for the algebra, which satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation.

A three dimensional quantum plane is given as the polynomial algebra generated by three elements which obey quadratic relations, such that the Poincaré series of the algebra, given by the dimensions of the subspaces of specific degree, coincides with the classical case of 3 commuting generators. The consistency of a left action of a quantum matrix on a quantum plane, together with a right action on a quantum coplane, is well known to impose a compatibility condition on plane and coplane and to fix the relations of the group completely. The above mentioned odd plane is defined by the relations dual to those of the coplane. Imposing the condition of classical Poincaré series on the group algebra results in additional compatibility conditions for plane and coplane. At degree three we get back the Yang-Baxter equation, but we find more conditions at degree four. One implication of these necessary conditions is that the characteristic matrices of plane and coplane, which we will define in section one, have to commute. This generalizes to higher dimensions. It is known from counterexamples that the Yang-Baxter equation is not sufficient to fix the dimension of the algebra at all degrees to the classical values. The stronger equations we get allow us, in three dimensions, to solve the classification problem case by case. In two dimensions it is even possible to solve the equations algebraically and to get straightforwardly the complete answer, as we have shown in [5, 6]. By following this algebraic approach we forget about quantum groups as deformations of classical groups. Instead of demanding continuity of the algebra dimensions during deformation we fix them by hand. Having found a solution, we may ask if a classical limit is possible, anyhow we will not do this here.

In most cases the classical Poincaré series is accompanied by the existence of a complete and unique ordering prescription in the sense of the diamond lemma. We find two related cases of quantum groups for which this is not true, however we are not able to prove for these the correctness of the full Poincaré series. We will come back to this question in section five. If q , the negative quotient of the eigenvalues of the R-matrix, is not a root of unity, then all of our equations are consequences of the Yang-Baxter equation, as follows from Gurevich [8]. In this restricted case the Poincaré series of all algebras mentioned above is in fact determined by its first three elements. Many of our solutions however correspond to q being a root of unity.

Sections 1 and 2 derive necessary conditions for the correct Poincaré series of the quantum plane and group, respectively. Section 1 also gives the classification of three-dimensional quantum planes by their characteristic matrix. Another significant quantity commuting with the characteristic matrices of the planes is the matrix which gives the commutation law of the quantum determinant with the group generators. Section 3 introduces a transformation on the space of solutions which preserves the Poincaré series and which we use to reduce the number of cases for the determinant commutation law to a few. Starting from a specific case, we find in section 4 the explicit solutions to the quantum group conditions. At the end of that section we summarize their automorphism groups, leading back to the complete solution space of the problem. Section 5 returns to the question of the correct Poincaré series and proves correctness to all orders by giving an appropriate normal ordering for the generators. Appendix A lists the explicit R-matrices for our solutions. A kind of summary of our results is given in table 3 at the beginning of section 4.

1 Quantum planes in three dimensions

Quantum planes of dimension three possessing the standard Poincaré series have been classified in [1]. However, several cases which we would like to distinguish have not been treated there as separate cases.

Let the quadratic relations on the coordinates of the quantum plane be given by

$$E_{ij}^\alpha x^i x^j = 0 \quad , \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (1)$$

(where summation over paired indices is understood), which we will write in abbreviated form as $E^\alpha x \cdot x = 0$. The condition of standard Poincaré series of the algebra generated by x^i requires three independent relations (1), i.e. $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ and E^α linearly independent. In the following we take Greek indices to be running over 1, 2, 3. The index α can be transformed with an arbitrary invertible matrix without changing the ideal generated.

Since we assume that all relations of higher degree are generated by the quadratic relations (1), the relations in degree three are

$$(E^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}^i) x \cdot x \cdot x = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{1}^i \otimes E^\alpha) x \cdot x \cdot x = 0 \quad (2)$$

(to be read as $E_{mn}^\alpha x^m x^n x^i = 0$ and $E_{mn}^\alpha x^i x^m x^n = 0$, $(\mathbb{1}^i)_j = \delta_j^i$). These 18 relations cannot be independent. Classically, $\binom{d+n-1}{n}$ of the n -th order products of d commuting variables are independent, resulting in 10 cubics for $d = 3$. With $3^3 = 27$ quantities $x \cdot x \cdot x$ we therefore need 17 relations and there must be exactly one intersection in (2). Since the E^α are linearly independent, it has to be of the form

$$e_{i\alpha} (\mathbb{1}^i \otimes E^\alpha) = f_{\alpha i} (E^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}^i) =: E \quad , \quad (3)$$

e and f nonvanishing. The (up to rescaling) unique solution of (3) defines the tensor $E = (E_{ijk})$, which is the deformed ε tensor of the three-dimensional quantum plane.

In case that e and f give nondegenerate mappings between the three dimensional vector spaces indexed with Latin and Greek indices respectively, eq. (3) gives a cyclicity property for the tensor E . Comparing $E_{ijk} = E_{ij}^\alpha f_{\alpha k}$ and $E_{lij} = e_{l\alpha} E_{ij}^\alpha$ we get

$$E_{ijk} = Q_k^l E_{lij} \quad , \quad \text{with} \quad Q_j^i = f_{\alpha j} (e^{-1})^{\alpha i} . \quad (4)$$

We will assume in the following that both e and f are nondegenerate and will characterize quantum planes as solutions of the cyclicity equation (4). The fact that degenerate e or f can also lead to standard Poincaré series is demonstrated by the example $E^1 = e^3 e^2$, $E^2 = e^3 e^1$, $E^3 = e^2 e^1$. A matrix Q may also be defined in the case where e and f have equal rank and the kernels of the two mappings $x^\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha e_{i\alpha}$ and $x^\alpha \mapsto x^\alpha f_{\alpha i}$ coincide. It can be chosen to be invertible as well.

Choosing a basis of the algebra where the invertible matrix Q has Jordan normal form, we get three cases:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & & \\ & \alpha_2 & \\ & & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & \beta \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 & \\ & \alpha & 1 \\ & & \alpha \end{pmatrix} . \quad (5)$$

Starting with diagonalizable Q , the cyclicity equation becomes $E_{ijk} = \alpha_k E_{kij}$. This gives a set of 11 components E_{ijj} , E_{123} and E_{132} determining all remaining ones and independently subject to conditions

$$E_{iii} = \alpha_i E_{iii} \quad , \quad E_{ijk} = \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k E_{ijk} . \quad (6)$$

Hence either distinct products of the eigenvalues of Q have to be equal to one or the corresponding components of the E tensor vanish. The different possibilities for the eigenvalues of Q give rise to the cases 1 to 11 in the table below. We excluded cases with dependent E^α as well as those cases where eq. (3) has more than one solution, assuming generic values for the free parameters.

In the second case where Q contains a Jordanian 2-block, equation (4) in a first step reduces the set of independent E_{ijk} to the same 11 components as above. Further analysis shows that E_{111} , E_{133} , E_{211} and E_{311} vanish. The remaining components have to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} E_{333} &= \beta E_{333} \\ E_{222} &= \alpha E_{222} + E_{122} \\ E_{322} &= \alpha^2 \beta E_{322} + \alpha E_{123} + E_{132} \\ E_{ijk} &= \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k E_{ijk} \quad , \quad \text{for } (ijk) = 122, 233, 123, 132 , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha$, $\alpha_3 = \beta$. The solutions of this system constitute the cases 12 to 15 of the table.

In the third case, where Q corresponds to a Jordanian 3-block, 5 of the 11 basic components vanish: $E_{111} = E_{222} = E_{122} = E_{211} = E_{311} = 0$. The remaining ones satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{333} &= \alpha E_{333} + E_{233} \\
E_{233} &= \alpha^3 E_{233} + \alpha^2 E_{133} + (\alpha + \alpha^3) E_{322} + \alpha E_{123} \\
E_{322} &= \alpha^3 E_{322} + \alpha E_{123} + E_{132} \\
E_{132} &= -E_{123} \\
E_{ijk} &= \alpha^3 E_{ijk} \quad , \quad \text{for } (ijk) = 133, 123 .
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

This gives the solutions 16 and 17.

In the table below an entry 0 in column ijk means that E_{ijk} has to vanish in the respective case. $(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)^1$ denotes a matrix Q of the second form in (5) and $(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)_1^1$ of the third form. $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$, and γ_n are arbitrary n -th roots of unity, i.e. $(\gamma_n)^n = 1$. The table is partially ordered, with the more special cases of Q giving less restrictions on the components of E_{ijk} appearing first.

The proof that these quantum planes have the correct Poincaré series to all degrees was done in [1]. Those planes which play the role of quantum group modules will be discussed in more detail in section 5.

	Q α_i	E_{ijk}									
		111	222	333	211	311	122	322	133	233	$\begin{smallmatrix} 123 \\ 132 \end{smallmatrix}$
1	$1, 1, 1$										
2	$1, 1, \gamma_2$			0		0		0			0
3	$1, \gamma_2, \gamma_2$		0	0	0	0		0		0	
4	$1, \gamma_2, \gamma_4$		0	0	0	0		0	0		0
5	$1, x, 1/x$		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	$\gamma_3, \gamma_3, \gamma_3$	0	0	0							
7	$\gamma_6^4, \gamma_6^4, \gamma_6$	0	0	0		0		0			0
8	$\gamma_9, \gamma_9^7, \gamma_9^4$	0	0	0		0	0			0	0
9	x, x^{-2}, x	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		
10	$x, x^{-2}, \gamma_2 x$	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0
11	$x, y, 1/xy$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	$(1, 1, 1)^1$	0			0	0	0		0		(5)
13	$(\gamma_3, \gamma_3, \gamma_3)^1$	0		0	0	0	(1)		0		(5)
14	$(\gamma_2, \gamma_2, 1)^1$	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	(5)
15	$(x, x, x^{-2})^1$	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	(5)
16	$(1, 1, 1)_1^1$	0	0		0	0	0		(2)	0	(5)
17	$(\gamma_3, \gamma_3, \gamma_3)_1^1$	0	0		0	0	0		(3)	(4)	0

with (1) = $(1 - \gamma_3)E_{222}$, (2) = $-E_{123} - 2E_{322}$, (3) = $-(\gamma_3 + \gamma_3^2)E_{322}$
(4) = $(1 - \gamma_3)E_{333}$, (5) $\alpha_1 E_{123} + E_{132} = 0$.

Table 1: three-dimensional quantum planes

2 Quantum matrices in three dimensions

Let A_j^i be the generators of the matrix group acting on the quantum plane x^i from the left and preserving the plane in the sense that the quantities $x'^i = A_j^i x^j$ satisfy again the quantum plane relations (with A_j^i and x^k commuting). Let u_i be generating a coplane on which the quantum matrix acts from the right by $u'_j = u_i A_j^i$. As for the plane we specify its relations by

$$u_i u_j F_\alpha^{ij} = 0 \quad (u \cdot u F_\alpha = 0) \quad (9)$$

and demand a unique solution of the intersection equation

$$(\mathbb{1}_i \otimes F_\alpha) g^{i\alpha} = (F_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}_i) h^{\alpha i} = F \quad (10)$$

defining a tensor (F^{ijk}) . Like for the plane we assume nondegeneracy of g and h and get a cyclicity property for F

$$F^{ijk} = P_l^k F^{lij} \quad , \quad P_l^k = h^{\alpha k} g_{\alpha l} \quad (11)$$

As is well known, given a plane and a coplane in this way the relations for the quantum group acting on them are fixed. Invariance of the relations (1) and (9) gives

$$E^\alpha (A \cdot A) x \cdot x = 0 \quad , \quad u \cdot u (A \cdot A) F_\alpha = 0 \quad (12)$$

This should not lead to new relations for x^i and u_i , i.e.

$$E^\alpha A \cdot A = D_\beta^\alpha E^\beta \quad , \quad A \cdot A F_\beta = F_\alpha \tilde{D}_\beta^\alpha \quad (13)$$

The D_β^α and $\tilde{D}_\beta^\alpha$ will be called subdeterminants.

In the following we will consider the group algebra at increasing degrees:

i) Degree 2. As a first implication from the Poincaré series of the group algebra we show that the subdeterminants are independent and uniquely defined by any one of eqs. (13). We proceed to show in detail how we calculate the dimension of the algebra at a given order from the relations. Eqs. (13) map a linear subspace of the homogeneous component of order 2 of the algebra to new quantities D_β^α and $\tilde{D}_\beta^\alpha$. This subspace, generated by the l.h.sides of (13) is of dimension 45, because we have to subtract the 9 intersections of the form $E^\alpha A \cdot A F_\beta$. These intersections give rise to relations on D_β^α and $\tilde{D}_\beta^\alpha$

$$D_\gamma^\alpha E_{ij}^\gamma F_\beta^{ij} = E_{ij}^\alpha F_\gamma^{ij} \tilde{D}_\beta^\gamma \quad (14)$$

To get the classical number of $\binom{10}{2} = 45$ independent quantities $A \cdot A$, we need 9 of the quantities D_β^α and $\tilde{D}_\beta^\alpha$ to be independent. This can only be accomplished with the

matrix $(E_{ij}^\alpha F_\beta^{ij})$ being invertible. We use the freedom of choosing the upper Greek indices to take it to the unit matrix and have nine independent subdeterminants $D_\beta^\alpha = \tilde{D}_\beta^\alpha$. In this normalization we find from the contraction of (3) with (10) the identity

$$e_{i\alpha} g^{i\alpha} = f_{\alpha i} h^{\alpha i} = E_{ijk} F^{ijk} =: \kappa . \quad (15)$$

We do not normalize κ but choose a different normalization of E and F further below.

ii) Degree 3. The relations obtained from degree 2 are

$$(E^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}) A \cdot A \cdot A = D_\beta^\alpha \cdot A (E^\beta \otimes \mathbb{1}) \quad (16)$$

$$(\mathbb{1} \otimes E^\alpha) A \cdot A \cdot A = A \cdot D_\beta^\alpha (\mathbb{1} \otimes E^\beta) \quad (17)$$

$$A \cdot A \cdot A (F_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}) = (F_\beta \otimes \mathbb{1}) D_\alpha^\beta \cdot A \quad (18)$$

$$A \cdot A \cdot A (\mathbb{1} \otimes F_\alpha) = (\mathbb{1} \otimes F_\beta) A \cdot D_\alpha^\beta . \quad (19)$$

The intersections of the l.h.sides of these equations are completely determined by the intersection properties of plane and coplane separately, i.e. by their Poincaré series. They give rise to relations between the quantities $D \cdot A$ and $A \cdot D$. By comparison with (3) we see that the intersection of the first two equations must be given by the contraction of (16) with $f_{\alpha k}$ and of (17) with $e_{i\alpha}$, leading to

$$f(D_\alpha \cdot A_i) (E^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}^i) = e(A_i \cdot D_\alpha) (\mathbb{1}^i \otimes E^\alpha) . \quad (20)$$

Again by uniqueness of the solution of (3) this gives

$$f(D \cdot A) = \mathbb{D} f \quad , \quad e(A \cdot D) = \mathbb{D} e \quad (21)$$

with $\mathbb{D}$ a constant of proportionality. We will call $\mathbb{D}$ the quantum determinant of the group, which is hence given by

$$E(A \cdot A \cdot A) = \mathbb{D} E . \quad (22)$$

The intersection of the other two equations similarly leads to a proportionality constant $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$

$$(A \cdot A \cdot A) F = \tilde{\mathbb{D}} F . \quad (23)$$

If $\kappa = EF \neq 0$ we conclude $\mathbb{D} = \tilde{\mathbb{D}}$. Analogously to (21) we find

$$(D \cdot A) h = h \tilde{\mathbb{D}} \quad , \quad (A \cdot D) g = g \tilde{\mathbb{D}} . \quad (24)$$

Eqs. (24) together with (21) mean that for $\kappa \neq 0$ the following commutator relations hold

$$[D \cdot A, h \otimes f] = [A \cdot D, g \otimes e] = 0 . \quad (25)$$

Two other relevant intersections are $(E^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}) A \cdot A \cdot A (\mathbb{1} \otimes F_\beta)$ and $(\mathbb{1} \otimes E^\alpha) A \cdot A \cdot A (F_\beta \otimes \mathbb{1})$, leading to

$$(D \cdot A) M = M (A \cdot D) \quad , \quad (A \cdot D) N = N (D \cdot A) , \quad (26)$$

with $M_{j\beta}^{\alpha i} = E_{jn}^{\alpha} F_{\beta}^{ni}$ and $N_{\beta j}^{\alpha i} = F_{\beta}^{in} E_{nj}^{\alpha}$. Eqs. (26) together imply

$$[MN, D \cdot A] = [NM, A \cdot D] = 0 . \quad (27)$$

Eqs. (16)–(19) map a linear subspace of $A \cdot A \cdot A$ of dimension $2 \times 3^3 \times 17 - 17^2$ onto $D \cdot A$ and $A \cdot D$. Hence the dimension in degree three is correct if the number of independent $D \cdot A$ and $A \cdot D$ coincides with the classical number, which is 65. Eqs. (21), (24) and (26) give all relations of $A \cdot D$ and $D \cdot A$ following from intersections between (16)–(19). Let us assume here for simplicity that they contain complete ordering relations for $A \cdot D$ and $D \cdot A$. The analysis should however be possible without this assumption. Then the commutator relation (27) has to leave more than 65 of the $D \cdot A$ independent. This restricts MN to contain, besides the unit matrix, at most a rank one operator. By (25) this operator has to coincide with $h \otimes f$ and hence

$$MN = \alpha \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \beta h \otimes f . \quad (28)$$

For $\kappa \neq 0$, where (25) is not valid, eqs. (21) and (24) by themselves are too weak and we need $\beta \neq 0$. Then (27) implies, also in this case, $\tilde{D} = D$, which thus holds independently of κ . The case $\beta = 0$ will be ruled out in the next section.

Analogous considerations for $A \cdot D$ give

$$NM = \alpha \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \beta g \otimes e , \quad (29)$$

with the same coefficients as in (28). This follows from the expression MNM with the help of the following identities derived from the cyclicity property of the planes

$$fM = e , \quad Mg = h , \quad eN = f , \quad Nh = g . \quad (30)$$

With these identities, taking the trace in any of eqs. (28) or (29) gives

$$\alpha + \beta \kappa = 1 . \quad (31)$$

If M and N are nondegenerate, any of the eqs. (26) gives the complete commutation relations for $A \cdot D$ and $D \cdot A$ as we assumed above. One can show that to maintain this, not both M and N must be degenerate. Then with, say, M invertible, eq. (29) with $\alpha = 0$ gives $N = \kappa^{-1} g \otimes f$. Another singular possibility for the r.h.s. of (29) is excluded because of the identities (30). Hence, excluding the singular cases $N \sim g \otimes f$ and $M \sim h \otimes e$ we get that M and N must both be invertible as matrices in the composite upper and lower indices and hence $\alpha \neq 0$.

Finally it is easy to verify that (28) and (29) imply the existence of a Yang-Baxter operator. The R-matrix with $A_{kl}^{ij} = F_{\alpha}^{ij} E_{kl}^{\alpha}$ as antisymmetrizer,

$$\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij} = \delta_k^i \delta_l^j - (1 + q) F_{\alpha}^{ij} E_{kl}^{\alpha} , \quad (32)$$

satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation iff q satisfies $q^2 + q(2 - \alpha^{-1}) + 1 = 0$.

iii) Degree 4. Here we find the commutation relations of the determinant with the group generators. The contractions $(E \otimes \mathbb{1}) A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A (\mathbb{1} \otimes F)$ and $(\mathbb{1} \otimes E) A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A (F \otimes \mathbb{1})$ can be rewritten as

$$X A \cdot \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{D} \cdot A X \quad \text{and} \quad Y \mathbb{D} \cdot A = A \cdot \mathbb{D} Y, \quad (33)$$

with the matrices X and Y given by

$$X_j^i = (E \otimes \mathbb{1}^i)(\mathbb{1}_j \otimes F) = e_{j\alpha} h^{\alpha i} \quad , \quad Y_j^i = (\mathbb{1}^i \otimes E)(F \otimes \mathbb{1}_j) = g^{i\alpha} f_{\alpha j}. \quad (34)$$

X and Y obey with P and Q the identity

$$XQ = PY. \quad (35)$$

The quantum determinant is central if and only if X is proportional to $\mathbb{1}$. Eqs. (33) both give the complete commutation relations for the determinant, since X and Y are invertible matrices. Since $\mathbb{D}$ is assumed to be invertible in the group algebra and the A_j^i independent this implies

$$XY = \lambda \mathbb{1}. \quad (36)$$

A more systematic investigation of the degree 4 reveals more conditions on the involved tensors. In particular it will turn out that the characteristic matrices X , Y , P and Q commute and that $X = Y^{-1}$ gives an automorphism of the algebra. The relations in degree 4 are

$$\begin{aligned} (E^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}) A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A &= D_\beta^\alpha \cdot A \cdot A (E^\beta \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}) \\ A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A (F_\beta \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}) &= (F_\alpha \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}) D_\beta^\alpha \cdot A \cdot A, \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

together with the corresponding relations where E^α and F_β apply to the other factors of A . Intersections between these relations we rewrite with the help of the commutation relations for D and A as conditions on $A \cdot D \cdot A$

$$(e \otimes \mathbb{1}) A \cdot D \cdot A = \mathbb{D} \cdot A (e \otimes \mathbb{1}) \quad (38)$$

$$(\mathbb{1} \otimes f) A \cdot D \cdot A = A \cdot \mathbb{D} (\mathbb{1} \otimes f) \quad (39)$$

$$E_{ip}^\mu F_{\alpha}^{pq} E_{qj}^\nu A_m^i D_\beta^\alpha A_n^j = D_\sigma^\mu D_\tau^\nu E_{mp}^\sigma F_\beta^{pq} E_{qn}^\tau \quad (40)$$

$$A \cdot D \cdot A (g \otimes \mathbb{1}) = (g \otimes \mathbb{1}) \mathbb{D} \cdot A \quad (41)$$

$$A \cdot D \cdot A (\mathbb{1} \otimes h) = (\mathbb{1} \otimes h) A \cdot \mathbb{D} \quad (42)$$

$$A_m^i D_\beta^\alpha A_n^j F_\mu^{mp} E_\nu^\beta F_\nu^{qn} = F_\sigma^{ip} E_{pq}^\alpha F_\tau^{qj} D_\mu^\sigma D_\nu^\tau. \quad (43)$$

The relations for $D \cdot D$, $\mathbb{D} \cdot A$ and $A \cdot \mathbb{D}$ following from (38)–(43) are:

$$D_\beta^\alpha D_\delta^\gamma E_{mn}^\beta g^{n\delta} = E_{ij}^\alpha g^{j\gamma} A_m^i \mathbb{D} \quad (44)$$

$$D_\beta^\alpha D_\delta^\gamma h^{\beta p} E_{pq}^\delta = h^{\alpha r} E_{rl}^\gamma \mathbb{D} A_q^l \quad (45)$$

$$F_\alpha^{ij} e_{j\gamma} D_\beta^\alpha D_\delta^\gamma = A_m^i \mathbb{D} F_\beta^{mn} e_{n\delta} \quad (46)$$

$$f_{\alpha k} F_\gamma^{kl} D_\beta^\alpha D_\delta^\gamma = \mathbb{D} A_q^l f_{\beta p} F_\delta^{pq} \quad (47)$$

together with the commutation relations for the determinant (33).

Eq. (44) combined with (46) gives similarly to (36), that the matrix $U_j^i = e_{n\gamma} F_\alpha^{in} E_{jm}^\alpha g^{m\gamma}$ commutes with $A \cdot \mathbb{D}$ and hence must be proportional to $\mathbb{1}$. The expression $E_{mi}^\delta F_\epsilon^{mj}$ gives a nondegenerate map from indices (i, j) to (δ, ϵ) , which is easily seen with the help of the cyclicity conditions. Application to U yields in the case $\beta \neq 0$ the new condition $e_{j\gamma} h^{\delta j} f_{\epsilon n} g^{n\gamma} = \tilde{\lambda} \delta_\epsilon^\delta$, or equivalently

$$YQ^{-1}XQ = \tilde{\lambda}\mathbb{1} . \quad (48)$$

Together with eq. (36) we get $\lambda XQ = QX\tilde{\lambda}$. For $\kappa = \text{tr}XQ \neq 0$ we thus find $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$ and that X and Q commute. But then with (35) and (36) all characteristic matrices commute:

$$[P, Q] = [X, Q] = [Y, Q] = [X, P] = [Y, P] = 0 . \quad (49)$$

This property is the basis of our classification and we will assume these commutation relations to hold even in the case $\kappa = 0$.

The dimension of the subspace of $A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A$ spanned by the l.h.sides of (37) is $2 \times 3^4 \times 66 - 66^2$, where 66 is the number of relations for $x \cdot x \cdot x \cdot x$. Therefore the dimension in the quartics is correct if we have the classical number of 270 independent quantities $A \cdot D \cdot A$. The dimension of the subspace spanned by the l.h.sides of (38)–(43) turns out to be $2 \times 3^3 \times 12 - 12^2$ if we can prove that $e_{i\alpha} u_j$, $v_i f_{\alpha j}$ and $w_{\mu\nu} E_{ip}^\mu F_\alpha^{pq} E_{qj}^\nu$ span a space of dimension 12, or equivalently that the equation

$$e_{i\alpha} u_j + v_i f_{\alpha j} + w_{\mu\nu} E_{ip}^\mu F_\alpha^{pq} E_{qj}^\nu = 0 \quad (50)$$

has exactly three solutions (u, v, w) . This can be proven by multiplying (50) by $N \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and defining

$$\tilde{w}_{\nu\beta} = \alpha w_{\nu\beta} - v_i F_\nu^{il} e_{l\beta} \quad , \quad \tilde{u}_j = u_j - \beta w_{\alpha\beta} h^{\alpha n} E_{nj}^\beta . \quad (51)$$

Then (50) becomes

$$f_{\nu k} \tilde{u}_j = \tilde{w}_{\nu\beta} E_{kj}^\beta . \quad (52)$$

By cyclicity $E_{ij}^\alpha \chi^j = 0$ implies $\chi = 0$. Multiplying (52) with χ^j and noting that $\tilde{w}$, if nonzero, has to be nondegenerate (since f is nondegenerate), we find a contradiction in case $\tilde{w}$ and $\tilde{u}$ do not vanish. Then with $\tilde{w} = \tilde{u} = 0$, eqs. (51) give a three-dimensional solution as we claimed. We notice that $\beta = 0$ gives $u = 0$, and similarly by multiplying (50) with $1 \otimes M$, it gives $v = 0$, i.e. (50) has no solution. Thus the case $\beta = 0$ has to be excluded. We finally arrive at the correct number of independent $A \cdot D \cdot A$ if on the r.h.s. 45 of the $D \cdot D$, $A \cdot \mathbb{D}$ and $\mathbb{D} \cdot A$ are independent. This is again the classical number.

Eqs. (44)–(47) parallel, as relations for D_β^α , the basic relations (13) for A_j^i . We read them as mapping some of the quantities $D \cdot D$ onto the independent quantities $\mathbb{D}A$ and $A\mathbb{D}$. Tensors like $E_{mn}^\beta g^{n\delta}$ we argued above to be independent for $m = 1, 2, 3$.

As for $A \cdot A$ we want 45 of the 81 $D \cdot D$ to be independent. The difference is that there now seem to be twice as many equations compared with the case of $A \cdot A$. To arrive at the correct number of relations the l.h.sides of (44) and (45) therefore must coincide, likewise for (46) and (47). In addition $F_{\alpha}^{ij} e_{j\gamma} E_{kn}^{\alpha} g^{n\gamma} = \mu \delta_k^i$ must not vanish. The proportionality between the l.h.sides is given through the matrices X and Y , with $\mu = \alpha\kappa + \beta\lambda$:

$$E_{mn}^{\alpha} g^{n\beta} Y_k^m = \mu h^{\alpha l} E_{lk}^{\beta} \quad , \quad F_{\alpha}^{mn} e_{n\beta} X_m^k = \mu f_{\alpha l} F_{\beta}^{lk} . \quad (53)$$

By lowering all indices of E and raising all those of F this turns into

$$E(Y \otimes Y \otimes Y) = \mu \lambda E \quad , \quad (X \otimes X \otimes X) F = \mu \lambda F . \quad (54)$$

The antisymmetrizer which we introduced to write the R-matrix (32) reads now

$$A_{kl}^{ij} := F_{\alpha}^{ij} E_{kl}^{\alpha} = \lambda^{-1} E_{klm} X_n^m F^{nij} = \lambda^{-1} F^{ijn} Y_n^m E_{mkl} . \quad (55)$$

A satisfies $A^2 = A$ and $\text{tr} A = 3$. From (36) and (54) we find $A(Y \otimes Y) = \mu^2 \lambda^{-1} (Y \otimes Y) A$. Multiplying from left and right with A , we obtain

$$[A, X \otimes X] = [A, Q \otimes Q] = 0 , \quad (56)$$

together with $\lambda = \mu^2$. The normalization of X and Y , i.e. of g and h relative to e and f is still at our disposal. From (53) we see that μ is proportional to X and Y . We normalize

$$\mu = 1 \quad , \quad \text{and hence} \quad \lambda = 1 \quad , \quad \alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{1 + \kappa} . \quad (57)$$

Finally we rewrite the basic equation for degree 3 in terms of the antisymmetrizer using the results of this section. Contracting the free Greek indices in the first of eqs. (29) with $h_{a\alpha}$ and $f^{b\beta}$ and using (36) and (54), we get

$$(1 + \kappa) A_{aj}^{ib} A_{kd}^{cj} (XQ)^{-1d}_b = (XQ)^{-1c}_a \delta_k^i + \delta_a^i \delta_k^c , \quad (58)$$

with $\kappa = E_{ijk} F^{ijk} = \text{tr} XQ = \text{tr} (XQ)^{-1}$.

Eq. (48), which together with (36) implies the commuting of all the characteristic matrix quantities, follows immediately in degree 5. Starting from $(E \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}) A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes F)$ we find

$$\mathbb{D} D_{\beta}^{\alpha} f_{\gamma p} g^{p\beta} = f_{\delta k} g^{k\alpha} D_{\gamma}^{\delta} \mathbb{D} . \quad (59)$$

and from $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes E) A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A \cdot A (F \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1})$ we get

$$h^{\gamma k} e_{k\alpha} \mathbb{D} D_{\beta}^{\alpha} = D_{\delta}^{\gamma} \mathbb{D} h^{\delta p} e_{p\beta} . \quad (60)$$

With the independence of the D_{β}^{α} eq. (48) follows immediately. This derivation opens an easy way to generalize (49) to arbitrary dimensions, where X is the contraction of the d dimensional E and F tensors over $d - 1$ indices, and Q and P are their respective cyclicity matrices.

3 Commutation relations of the determinant

The conditions of the previous section allow transformations on the solution space. This will be used to reduce the matrix X , which gives the commutation relations of the quantum determinant with the matrix elements, to one out of a few discrete cases. For these cases we will solve the conditions. The transformations are induced by any automorphism of the algebra. The possibility to find these without further knowledge of the solution is due to eqs. (54) which determine X to be an automorphism of the algebra. This contains enough information on the solutions to derive further automorphisms which give the desired transformation on X . In the end of section 4 we will derive the full automorphism group for each solution, leading back to the general form of X .

Let Z be an automorphism of the algebra, i.e. an invertible mapping $x^i \mapsto Z_j^i x^j$ and $u_i \mapsto u_j Z^{-1}_i^j$, leaving E and F invariant. Put

$$E' = E (Z^{-1} \otimes 1 \otimes Z) \quad , \quad F' = (Z \otimes 1 \otimes Z^{-1}) F . \quad (61)$$

It is easy to see that the cyclicity conditions are satisfied with

$$Q' = Z^3 Q \quad , \quad P' = Z^{-3} P \quad , \quad \text{and} \quad [Q, Z] = [P, Z] = 0 \quad , \quad (62)$$

the latter following from the uniqueness of Q . From the definitions of X and Y we find

$$X' = Z^{-3} X \quad , \quad Y' = Z^3 Y \quad , \quad \text{and} \quad [Z, X] = [Z, Y] = 0 . \quad (63)$$

(XQ) and κ are invariant. With the new antisymmetrizer

$$A' = (1 \otimes Z^{-1}) A (1 \otimes Z) \quad , \quad [A, Z \otimes Z] = 0 \quad , \quad (64)$$

it is now easy to verify that the transformation preserves all conditions of the previous section. In fact, transformation (61) corresponds to a so called twisting of a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation [12], with

$$\hat{R}^F = \hat{F} \hat{R} \hat{F}^{-1} \quad , \quad F = Z \otimes 1 \quad , \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \hat{F}_{kl}^{ij} = Z_k^j \delta_l^i . \quad (65)$$

If $\hat{R} = \mathbb{1} - (1 + q)A$ is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation, so is $\hat{R}^F$, since $[\hat{R}, Z \otimes Z] = 0$.

The matrix X is an automorphism by virtue of eqs. (54) with the normalization (57). This condition allows one to classify X in a way similar as it was done for the quantum planes in section 1. We begin by assuming that X is diagonalizable. Later we will prove that all other cases can be reduced to diagonal ones by an appropriate twist transformation. Then the condition involving the E tensor becomes

$$E_{ijk} = \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k E_{ijk} . \quad (66)$$

As in section 1 this means that either a product of the eigenvalues of X is equal to 1 or the corresponding component of E has to vanish. This yields table 2. Since eqs. (54) are invariant under $X \mapsto \gamma_3 X$, only one of the three cases is given. The table is equally valid for the components of F .

The automorphism group of these algebras is determined by the same equations which were just solved for E . Restricting ourselves to automorphisms given by a diagonal matrix Z , every nonvanishing component of E or F restricts a particular product of eigenvalues of Z . A subset of solutions is given by those Z which coincide with X up to the choice of roots and parameters. This permits in particular the twists given on the r.h.s. of the table below, which transform the particular case to the one given in the last column via $X' = Z^{-3}X$. In the case $Z = X^{1/3}$ the roots of the eigenvalues have to be chosen such that their triple products equals 1 if and only if this is the case for the eigenvalues of X .

We see from table 2 that after making use of the twist transformation, we have for X the following seven cases of diagonal matrices:

$$X = (1, 1, 1), (\zeta_3, \zeta_3, \zeta_3), (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2), (\zeta_9, \zeta_9^4, \zeta_9^7), (1, 1, \zeta_3), (\zeta_3, \zeta_3, 1), (\zeta_3, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2), \quad (67)$$

with $\zeta_n = e^{\pm 2\pi i/n}$. We will show in the following that any nondiagonal X can be brought into diagonal form by a twist transformation. Hence (67) is already the complete list of types of X -matrices.

Let us consider the case of X containing a 2-block in Jordan normal form. To solve simultaneously for the automorphisms, we consider eq. (54) with a slightly generalized X

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \sigma & \\ & \alpha & \\ & & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \sigma \neq 0. \quad (68)$$

Writing (54) in components for this X one finds that (54) is actually independent of the parameter σ . Hence for any X of the form (68) with $\sigma = 1$ there exists the automorphism Z of the same form and the same eigenvalues, but with $\sigma = \frac{1}{3}$, transforming X into $X' = \text{diag}(\alpha^{-2}, \alpha^{-2}, \beta^{-2})$.

In case X consists of a Jordanian 3-block, we consider eq. (54) with

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \sigma & \tau \\ & \alpha & \sigma \\ & & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha, \sigma \neq 0. \quad (69)$$

The system of equations corresponding to (54) now implies $\alpha^3 = 1$ and depends on σ and τ only through the combination

$$\gamma(X) = \frac{2\alpha\tau - \sigma^2}{\alpha\sigma}. \quad (70)$$

Defining for $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ the n -th power of the above X to be

$$X^n = \alpha^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n\alpha^{-1}\sigma & \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\alpha^{-2}\sigma^2 + n\alpha^{-1}\tau \\ 0 & 1 & n\alpha^{-1}\sigma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (71)$$

we find $\gamma(\lambda X^n) = \gamma(X)$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence if X is an automorphism, so is $Z = \alpha^{-1/3}X^{1/3}$. Twisting with this Z transforms X again to diagonal form.

X α_i	E_{ijk}, F^{ijk}										twist	
	111	222	333	211	311	122	322	133	233	$\begin{smallmatrix} 123 \\ 132 \end{smallmatrix}$	Z	X'
1, 1, 1											–	
1, 1, γ_3					0		0	0	0	0	–	
1, γ_3, γ_3^2				0	0	0	0	0	0		–	
1, 1, γ_2			0		0		0			0	X	1, 1, 1
1, γ_6^4, γ_6			0	0	0	0	0	0		0	X	1, γ_3, γ_3^2
1, γ_2, γ_2		0	0	0	0		0		0		X	1, 1, 1
1, γ_4^2, γ_4		0	0	0	0		0	0		0	X^{-1}	1, 1, 1
1, x^{-2}, x		0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	$X^{1/3}$	1, 1, 1
1, x^{-1}, x		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		$X^{1/3}$	1, 1, 1
x^{-2}, x, x	0	0	0	0	0		0		0		$X^{1/3}$	1, 1, 1
$x^{-2}, x, \gamma_2 x$	0	0	0	0	0		0		0	0	X	x^{-2}, x, x
$\gamma_9^4, \gamma_9^7, \gamma_9$	0	0	0	0			0	0		0	–	
x^4, x^{-2}, x	0	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	$X^{1/3}$	1, 1, 1
$x, y, (xy)^{-1}$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		$X^{1/3}$	1, 1, 1

Table 2: determinant commutation relations

4 Solutions for GL(3)

So far we have classified quantum planes according to their characteristic matrix Q . Furthermore, we have brought the determinant commutation relation as determined by the matrix X with the help of the twist transformation to one out of seven possible diagonal forms. Both classifications are compatible, since Q and X commute and X is diagonal. The simultaneous choice of X and Q is restricted by the twist invariant condition $\text{tr}(XQ) = \text{tr}(XQ)^{-1}$, which fixes most of the parameters in Q . Given X and Q , the characteristic matrix of the coplane follows as $P = X^2Q$. From table 1 and 2 we can read off the independent components of the tensors E and F .

With these components we solve the remaining conditions (34) and (58) for the matrix group which were derived in section 2 and which we repeat here for convenience.

$$E_{jmn}F^{mni} = X_j^i \quad (72)$$

$$(1 + \kappa) A_{aj}^{ib} A_{kd}^{cj} (XQ)^{-1d}_b = (XQ)^{-1c}_a \delta_k^i + \delta_a^i \delta_k^c, \quad (73)$$

where $\kappa = \text{tr}XQ$ and $A_{kl}^{ij} = E_{klm}X_n^m F^{nij}$. The second eq. (34) follows from (72) with $Y = X^{-1}$.

In some of the cases, where the matrices X and Q allow for nondiagonal coordinate transformations, we introduce normal forms for the tensor E , in order to solve eqs. (72) and (73). The solutions are further normalized by rescaling the coordinates or using more general coordinate transformations.

For every solution we will give explicitly the E and F tensors from which the corresponding R-matrix is easily built. According to section 2

$$\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij} = \delta_k^i \delta_l^j - (1 + q) E_{klm} X_n^m F^{mij} \quad (74)$$

satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation, with q a solution of

$$q^2 + q(1 - \text{tr}(XQ)) + 1 = 0, \quad (75)$$

where the two solutions of this equation correspond to mutually inverse R-matrices.

The results of our analysis are summarized in table 3 for X and Q which lead to quantum matrix groups. There (α, β, γ) denotes a diagonal matrix and $\zeta_n = e^{2\pi i/n}$. For $X = (\zeta_9, \zeta_9^4, \zeta_9^7)$ and $X = \gamma_3(1, 1, \zeta_3)$ no solution exists. We recall that X proportional to the unit matrix is equivalent to the determinant being central. Out of the two solutions of the quadratic eq. (75) we have listed only one. $q = 1$ represents the case of a double root and implies $\hat{R}^2 = 1$. Only the solutions B and F possess nontrivial parameters: solutions of type B have 1 or 2 parameters (including u), solutions of type F have 1 parameter. Cases where the twist invariant matrix XQ is not diagonalizable will be called Jordanian. F and G are Jordanian quantum groups, they all give $\hat{R}^2 = 1$.

As following from our construction, the solutions listed in the table and given explicitly in the following represent equivalence classes of solutions connected by twist transformations. Since it is easy to determine the full automorphism group of a given solution, the solutions derivable from the representatives are also easy to obtain. We give the complete list of automorphisms at the end of this section. It may happen that the twist transformation introduces new parameters into the solution. The cases C, C' and E do not admit any further twist transformation.

	X	Q	q
A	$(1, 1, 1)$	$(1, 1, 1)$	1
B		$(1, u, 1/u)$	u
C		$(\zeta_9, \zeta_9^4, \zeta_9^7)$	ζ_3
C'	$(\zeta_3, \zeta_3, \zeta_3)$	$(\zeta_9, \zeta_9^4, \zeta_9^7)$	ζ_3
D		$(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$	ζ_3
E	$(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$	$(1, 1, 1)$	ζ_3
F	$(1, 1, 1)$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1
G		$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1

Table 3: GL(3) quantum matrix groups

4.1 Cases with $X = \mathbb{1}$

Independent solutions are marked with a capital letter A...G and a number which distinguishes subcases with the same matrices X and Q . Again we will denote a matrix in Jordanian normal form (5) which contains a 2-block by $(\alpha, \alpha, \beta)_1^1$ and the Jordanian 3-block by $(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)_1^1$.

$X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = \mathbb{1}$: This being the case with the most number of independent variables, we have at the same time the full GL(3) in the choice of coordinates left. By the cyclicity equation $E_{ijk} = E_{kij}$, the tensor E decomposes into

$$E_{ijk} = \alpha^E \varepsilon_{ijk} + \phi_{ijk}^E , \quad (76)$$

where ε is the usual completely antisymmetric tensor in 3 dimensions, α^E a constant and ϕ^E a completely symmetric tensor. We use the GL(3) freedom to take the completely symmetric tensor to one out of the following 9 normal forms of ternary cubic forms [3]. We give the corresponding monomial $\phi_{ijk}^E x^i x^j x^k$, the verbal description

refers to the corresponding curve $\phi^E xxx = 0$ in the projective plane:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^E &= x^3 + y^3 + z^3 + 6mxyz \quad , \quad 8m^3 \neq -1 && \text{(nondegenerate cubic)} \\
\phi^E &= x^3 + y^3 + 6mxyz \quad , \quad m \neq 0 && \text{(cubic with self intersection)} \\
\phi^E &= x^3 + y^2z && \text{(cubic curve with cusp)} \\
\phi^E &= x^3 + 3xyz && \text{(quadratic curve and line, 2 intersection pts.)} \\
\phi^E &= xy^2 + x^2z && \text{(quadratic curve with tangential line)} \\
\phi^E &= x^3 && \text{(3 coinciding lines)} \\
\phi^E &= x^2y && \text{(3 lines, 2 coinciding)} \\
\phi^E &= xyz && \text{(3 lines, 3 intersection pts.)} \\
\phi^E &= xy(x+y) && \text{(3 lines, 1 intersection pt.)}
\end{aligned}$$

Besides this we have to consider the case $\phi^E = 0$. The cases consistent with conditions (72) and (73) are the following:

ϕ^E nondegenerate cubic — The equations exclude that all F^{iii} vanish, i.e. F being just the classical ε tensor. We have solutions related to a nondegenerate cubic curve, but they turn out to be reducible to degenerate cases by twisting: Besides $\det Z = 1$ for α^E or $\alpha^F \neq 0$, Z must be an automorphism of the cubic curve, restricting it to permutations and multiplication with $(1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$. Preservation of X and Q restricts the permutations further to cyclic ones. The corresponding twisting of the solutions leads either to m_E and m_F corresponding to a degenerate cubic, or to the factorized cubic xyz .

ϕ^E quadratic curve and intersecting line — Part of the solution is contained in (B2) below, appearing for $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$ at $u = 1$. The solution not contained there is

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{111} &= 1 \quad , \quad E_{123} = \frac{1 - \zeta_3^2}{3} \quad , \quad E_{132} = \frac{1 - \zeta_3}{3} \\
F^{333} &= \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad F^{123} = \frac{1 - \zeta_3}{2} \quad , \quad F^{132} = \frac{1 - \zeta_3^2}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

ϕ^E three coinciding lines — Transformations in the 2-3 plane with unit determinant leave the E -tensor invariant and affect only F^{222} , F^{333} , F^{233} and F^{322} . These four components represent a binary cubic form which always factorizes and has 3 normal forms x^3 , x^2y and $xy(x+y)$. We find solutions corresponding to the cubic form x^3 or to the zero cubic form. The latter case however is included in solution (B2), $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$ at $u = 1$. Hence we stay here with the solution

$$E_{111} = E_{123} = -E_{132} = 1 \quad , \quad F^{222} = F^{123} = -F^{132} = \frac{1}{2} \tag{A2}$$

ϕ^E three lines, two coinciding — This gives two solutions

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{211} &= E_{123} = -E_{132} = 1 \\
F^{233} &= F^{123} = -F^{132} = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad F^{333} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned} \tag{A3/A3}$$

ϕ^E three lines, intersecting in three points — The solutions of this case are either contained in (B1) for $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$ at $u = 1$, or coincide, after exchanging E and F , with (A1).

$\phi^E = 0$, E classical ε -Tensor — Coplanes compatible with the classical ε -tensor we find after we exchange the role of E and F and searching the solutions found for $X = Q = \mathbb{1}$ for such ones which allow the classical ε -tensor for F . The only case we find is one which we have identified as a subcase of (B2) at $u = 1$, namely $E = \varepsilon + x^3$, $F = \varepsilon$.

$X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, 1, -1)$: The components E_{111} , E_{222} , E_{122} and E_{211} describe a binary cubic form which always factorizes. By a transformation in the 1-2 plane we can take it into one of the three normal forms:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{E,12} &= x^3 && (3 \text{ coinciding linear factors}) \\ \phi^{E,12} &= x^2 y && (3 \text{ linear factors, 2 coinciding}) \\ \phi^{E,12} &= xy(x + y) && (3 \text{ indep. linear factors})\end{aligned}$$

As a fourth possibility the four components E_{111} , E_{222} , E_{122} and E_{211} may vanish. In all cases however the equations give a contradiction.

$X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$: $u = -1$ corresponds to the case $Q = (1, -1, -1)$ below and we exclude it here. Then we stay with two solutions

$$E_{123} = 1 \text{ , } F^{123} = \frac{1}{u+1} \text{ , } E_{132} F^{132} = \frac{u}{u+1} \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$E_{123} = E_{111} = 1 \text{ , } F^{123} = \frac{1}{u+1} \text{ , } E_{132} = -u^{1/3} \text{ , } F^{132} = -\frac{u^{2/3}}{u+1} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Solution (B1) has in addition to u another nontrivial parameter $\nu = -E_{132}$.

$X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (\zeta_9, \zeta_9^7, \zeta_9^4)$: We find the solution

$$\begin{aligned}E_{133} = E_{322} = E_{211} = 1 \text{ , } F^{133} = \sigma \text{ , } F^{322} = \sigma - \zeta_9^4 \text{ , } F^{211} = \sigma + \zeta_9 \\ \text{with } \sigma = \frac{1}{3}(-\zeta_9^6 + \zeta_9^4 + \zeta_9^3 - \zeta_9)\end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

$X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, 1, 1)^1$: We make use of coordinate transformations commuting with X and Q to reduce the number of parameters in the solutions. We use rescaling with (a, a, a^{-2}) and transformation with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ & 1 & a \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we stay with the solutions

$$\begin{aligned}E_{123} = -E_{132} = 1 \text{ , } E_{222} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ , } E_{322} = -\frac{5}{12} \mp \frac{1}{12} \\ F^{123} = -F^{132} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ , } F^{311} = \frac{1}{6} \pm \frac{1}{12} \text{ , } F^{333} = \nu \neq 0\end{aligned} \quad (\text{F1-F4})$$

$$\begin{aligned}E_{123} = -E_{132} = 1 \text{ , } E_{222} = 0 \text{ or } 1 \text{ , } E_{322} = \nu \text{ (arb.)} \\ F^{123} = -F^{132} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ , } F^{311} = \frac{1}{2} E_{322} + \frac{3}{8} \pm \frac{1}{8}\end{aligned} \quad (\text{F5-F8})$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{123} = -E_{132} = 1 \quad , \quad E_{222} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 \quad , \quad E_{322} = -\frac{3}{4} \pm \frac{1}{4} \\ F^{123} = -F^{132} = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad F^{111} = \nu \quad (\text{arb.}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F9-F12})$$

$X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, 1, 1)_1^1$: By rescaling and transforming with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ & 1 & a \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which commutes with Q , we remove all free parameters and have solutions

$$\begin{aligned} E_{123} = -E_{132} = 1 \quad , \quad E_{333} = -\frac{1}{27} \quad , \quad E_{133} = -\frac{1}{3} \quad , \quad E_{322} = -\frac{1}{3} \\ F^{123} = -F^{132} = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad F^{311} = \frac{1}{3} \quad , \quad F^{122} = \frac{1}{12} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{123} = -E_{132} = 1 \quad , \quad E_{133} = -1 \\ F^{123} = -F^{132} = \frac{1}{2} \quad , \quad F^{311} = \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{G2})$$

$X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, -1, -1)$, $Q = (\zeta_4, \zeta_4^2, \zeta_4^3)$ or $Q = (-1, -1, 1)_1^1$: These remaining cases of Q in table 1, allowed by the condition $\text{tr}XQ = \text{tr}(XQ)^{-1}$, all lead to contradicting equations.

4.2 Cases with $X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$

$X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$: Part of the solutions is contained in the case $Q = (u, \zeta_3^2, \zeta_3/u)$ at $u = 1$, see below. Here remains

$$\begin{aligned} E_{123} = 1 \quad , \quad E_{132} = -\gamma_3 \quad , \quad E_{111} = 1 \\ F^{123} = -\zeta_3 \quad , \quad F^{132} = \gamma_3^2 \quad , \quad F^{222} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D1/D2})$$

$X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$, $Q = \zeta_3^2 \mathbb{1}$: This case leaves the full $\text{GL}(3)$ for transformations of the coordinates. To arrive at a normal form for E we make use of the decomposition (78) below. Imposing the cyclicity equation reduces E and F to the form

$$E_{ijk} = S_i^m \varepsilon_{mjk} - \zeta_3 \varepsilon_{ijn} S_k^n \quad , \quad F^{ijk} = \tilde{S}_m^i \varepsilon^{mjk} - \zeta_3 \varepsilon^{ijn} \tilde{S}_n^k \quad , \quad (77)$$

with $\text{tr}S = \text{tr}\tilde{S} = 0$. Condition (72) then reads $[S, \tilde{S}] = 0$ with $\text{tr}(S\tilde{S}) = -\zeta_3^2$. S and $\tilde{S}$ transform under a change of coordinates as matrices and we can take S into one of 3 cases of Jordan normal form:

$S = (\mu, \nu, -\mu - \nu)$ — We may assume $\tilde{S}$ to be diagonalizable $\tilde{S} = (\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, -\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\nu})$, since otherwise we exchange E and F . The resulting solution is contained in the solution for $Q = (u, \zeta_3^2, \zeta_3/u)$ with $u = \zeta_3^2$, see below.

$S = (\mu, \mu, -2\mu)_1^1$ — We take $\tilde{S}$ arbitrary. The only solution found admits automorphisms $Z = (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^{-2})$. Twisting with $\alpha = \zeta_9$ ($\zeta_9^3 = \zeta_3$) transforms X and Q into $X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = \mathbb{1}$. — $S = (0, 0, 0)_1^1$ gives no solutions.

$X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (\zeta_9, \zeta_9^7, \zeta_9^4)$: This case is completely parallel to the case $X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (\zeta_9, \zeta_9^4, \zeta_9^7)$. Taking $\zeta_3 = \zeta_9^3$, the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} E_{133} = E_{211} = E_{322} = 1 \quad , \quad F^{133} = \sigma \quad , \quad F^{322} = \sigma - \zeta_9^7 \quad , \quad F^{211} = \sigma + \zeta_4 \\ \text{with } \sigma = \frac{1}{3}(\zeta_9^7 - \zeta_9^6 - \zeta_9^4 + \zeta_9^3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

$X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (u, \zeta_3^2, \zeta_3/u)$: The corresponding solution allows for automorphisms $Z = (\alpha, \beta, 1/\alpha\beta)$. Taking $\alpha = \beta = \zeta_9$ ($\zeta_9^3 = \zeta_3$), we can transform X and Q to $X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (\zeta_3 u, 1, \zeta_3^2/u)$.

$X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (\zeta_3^2, \zeta_3^2, \zeta_3^2)^1$: A coordinate transformation with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ & b & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, commuting with Q , allows us to get rid of the components E_{222} , E_{233} . The solutions then allow automorphisms with $Z = (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^{-2})$. Taking $\alpha = \zeta_9$ ($\zeta_9^3 = \zeta_3$), we can twist X and Q into $X = \mathbb{1}$ and Q a matrix with Jordan 2-block and eigenvalues 1. Hence this solution is reducible to one of the cases $X = \mathbb{1}$.

$X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$, $Q = \zeta_3^2(1, 1, -1)$ or $\zeta_3^2(-1, 1, -1)$ or $(\zeta_{12}, \zeta_{12}^{10}, \zeta_{12}^7)$ or $(-\zeta_3^2, -\zeta_3^2, \zeta_3^2)^1$ or $(\zeta_3^2, \zeta_3^2, \zeta_3^2)_1^1$: The first case of Q leads to contradicting equations while in the other cases there are not enough components to allow for a solution of eq. (72).

4.3 Cases with noncentral determinant

$X = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$ reduces the E tensor already to components E_{iii} , E_{123} and E_{132} . Q must be diagonal in order to commute with X .

$X = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$, $Q = \mathbb{1}$: Part of the solutions are contained in the case $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$ at $u = 1$ below. Remaining here are the solutions

$$\begin{aligned} E_{123} = 1 \quad , \quad E_{132} = -\zeta_3^{1/3} \quad , \quad E_{222} = E_{333} = 1 \\ F^{123} = -\zeta_3 \quad , \quad F^{132} = \zeta_3^{5/3} \quad , \quad F^{111} = \frac{1}{3}(1 - \zeta_3^2) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{123} = 1 \quad , \quad E_{132} = -\zeta_3^{1/3} \quad , \quad E_{222} = 1 \\ F^{123} = -\zeta_3 \quad , \quad F^{132} = \zeta_3^{5/3} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E2})$$

$X = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$, $Q = (1, -1, -1)$: With $P = (1, -\zeta_3^2, -\zeta_3)$ we get the same independent components for the tensors E and F as in the case $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$. Therefore all solutions will be contained there as the special case $u = -1$.

$X = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$, $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$: The two solutions allow automorphisms with $Z = (\alpha, \beta, 1/\alpha\beta)$, in one case restricted to $\alpha^3 = 1$. Taking $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \zeta_9$ ($\zeta_9^3 = \zeta_3$), transforms X and Q into $X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, \zeta_3 u, \zeta_3^2/u)$.

$X = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$, $Q = (\zeta_3, \zeta_3, \zeta_3)$: Some solutions are included in the case $Q = (u, \zeta_3^2/u, \zeta_3)$ with $u = \zeta_3$. The remaining solution allows automorphisms

$Z = (\alpha, \gamma_3, \gamma_3^2/\alpha)$. Taking $\alpha = \zeta_9^2$ ($\zeta_9^3 = \zeta_3$) transforms X and Q into $X = \zeta_3 \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$.

$X = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$, $Q = (-\zeta_3, -\zeta_3, \zeta_3)$: With $P = (-\zeta_3, -1, \zeta_3^2)$ we get the same independent components for the tensors E and F as in the case $Q = (u, \zeta_3^2/u, \zeta_3)$. Therefore all solutions will be contained there as the special case $u = -\zeta_3$.

$X = (1, \zeta_3, \zeta_3^2)$, $Q = (u, \zeta_3^2/u, \zeta_3)$: Depending on the value of u we have two different solutions. For $u = 1$ we find back a solution of the case $Q = (1, u, 1/u)$ with $u = \zeta_3^2$. For the other solution we need $u \neq 1, -1$. This solution however allows automorphisms $Z = (\alpha, \beta, 1/\alpha\beta)$. Taking $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \zeta_9$, $\zeta_9^3 = \zeta_3$, we twist X and $Q = (u, \zeta_3^2/u, \zeta_3)$ into $X = \mathbb{1}$, $Q = (u, 1/u, 1)$.

$X = (\zeta_9, \zeta_9^4, \zeta_9^7)$: In all cases the equations give a contradiction.

$X = \gamma_3(1, 1, \zeta_3)$: This X restricts the independent components of E to E_{iii} , E_{122} and E_{211} . However we do not find any Q which leaves enough components of E free and satisfies at the same time $\text{tr}XQ = \text{tr}(XQ)^{-1}$. Especially $Q = \gamma_3^2(1, 1, \zeta_3^2)$ leads to an E with a non unique solution for the cyclicity equation.

4.4 Automorphisms and twists

For arbitrary E_{ijk} and F^{ijk} we have unique decompositions

$$E_{ijk} = \alpha \varepsilon_{ijk} + S_i^m \varepsilon_{mjk} + \varepsilon_{ijn} T_k^n + \phi_{ijk} \quad (78)$$

$$F^{ijk} = \tilde{\alpha} \varepsilon^{ijk} + \tilde{S}_m^i \varepsilon^{mjk} + \varepsilon^{ijn} \tilde{T}_n^k + \tilde{\phi}^{ijk} , \quad (79)$$

with ε the usual ε -tensor, S , $\tilde{S}$, T and $\tilde{T}$ traceless matrices and ϕ , $\tilde{\phi}$ completely symmetric tensors. Z being an automorphism is then equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} z SZ = ZS \quad , \quad z ZT = TZ \quad , \quad z Z\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}Z \quad , \quad z \tilde{T}Z = Z\tilde{T} \\ \phi(Z \otimes Z \otimes Z) = \phi \quad , \quad (Z \otimes Z \otimes Z)\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{\phi} \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

where $z = \det Z$. If α or $\tilde{\alpha} \neq 0$ we have $\det Z = 1$. Eqs. (80) imply $\det Z = 1$ also if any of the determinants of S , T , $\tilde{S}$ or $\tilde{T}$ does not vanish.

For all solutions found so far the automorphism group is given below. The automorphisms of the cubic forms ϕ , $\tilde{\phi}$ can be found in the literature [3]. Z is determined only up to a factor γ_3 which we have omitted. Since any automorphism induces a twist (61), we determine here at the same time the full space of solutions given by twists of the standardized solutions above.

(A1)	$Z = (1, \gamma_3, \gamma_3^2)$	
(A2)	$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ z_{21} & \gamma_3 & z_{23} \\ z_{31} & & \gamma_3^2 \end{pmatrix}$	
(A3)	$Z = \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & x^{-2} & \\ z_{31} & & x \end{pmatrix}$	
(A4)	$Z = \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & x^{-2} & \\ z_{31} & \frac{1-x^3}{3x^2} & x \end{pmatrix}$	
(B1)	$Z = \Pi \circ (x, y, 1/xy)$	$u \neq 1 : \quad \Pi = \mathbb{1}$ $u = 1, \nu = -1 : \quad \Pi = \text{arb. permutation}$ $u = 1, \nu \neq 1, -1 : \quad \Pi = \text{cyclic perm.}$ $u = \nu = 1 : \quad Z \in SL(3)$ $u^{1/3} = 1 : \quad Z \in SL(3)$
(B2)	$Z = (1, x, x^{-1})$	
(C1), (C2)	–	
(D1)	$Z = (1, x, x^{-1})$	
(D2), (E1), (E2)	$Z = (1, \gamma_3, \gamma_3^2)$	
(F1-4)	$Z = \begin{pmatrix} \pm 1 & z_{12} & \\ & \pm 1 & \\ & z_{32} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	(F1): $z_{32} = 0$ (F2): $z_{32} = -2 \pm 2$ (F4): only + signs
(F5-8)	$Z = \begin{pmatrix} x & z_{12} & z_{13} \\ & x & \\ & z_{32} & x^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$	(F5): $\nu \neq -\frac{2}{3} : z_{13} = 0$ $\nu \neq -\frac{1}{3} : z_{32} = 0$ (F6): $\nu \neq -\frac{2}{3} : z_{13} = 0$ $\nu \neq -\frac{1}{3} : z_{32} = \frac{1-x^3}{x^2(3\nu+1)}$ $\nu = -\frac{1}{3} : x = 1$ (F7): $\nu \neq -\frac{1}{6} : z_{13} = 0$ $\nu \neq -\frac{1}{3} : z_{32} = 0$ (F8): $\nu \neq -\frac{1}{6} : z_{13} = 0$ $\nu \neq -\frac{1}{3} : z_{32} = \frac{1-x^3}{x^2(3\nu+1)}$ $\nu = -\frac{1}{3} : x = 1$
(F9-12)	$Z = \begin{pmatrix} x & z_{12} & \frac{2\nu(x^3-1)}{x^2} \\ & x & \\ & z_{32} & x^{-2} \end{pmatrix}$	(F9), (F11): $z_{32} = 0$ (F10): $z_{32} = 2(x - x^{-2})$ (F12): $z_{32} = (x - x^{-2})/2$
(G1), (G2)	$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & \frac{1}{2}x(x-1) \\ & 1 & x \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	

Table 4: automorphisms of the GL(3) solutions

5 Normal ordering and Poincare series

All quantum groups found here, with exception of the cases C,C', allow for an alphabetic ordering of monomials. This provides a simple possibility to prove the correctness of the full Poincare series with the help of the diamond lemma [2]. To this end we have to show that ordering triple products of generators always leads to a unique result.

Since it turns out that we can construct an ordering for the group generators from that of the planes, we first treat the corresponding planes. For a proof of the correctness of the Poincaré series of the planes in the cases C,C' by different methods we refer to [1]. The twisting transformation preserves the Poincare series of a solution, as we will show in the end of this section.

Given a quantum plane and an ordering for the generators such as

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 < x^2 < x^3 \quad , \quad x_3 < x_2 < x_1 & \quad (\text{solutions A, B, D}) \\ x^3 < x^2 < x^1 \quad , \quad x_1 < x_2 < x_3 & \quad (\text{solutions E, G}) \\ x^2 < x^1 < x^3 \quad , \quad x_3 < x_1 < x_2 & \quad (\text{solution F}) \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

we can rewrite the relations $E^\alpha x \cdot x$ as ordering rules by solving for the lexically highest monomial in one of the relations,

$$x^u \cdot x^v = \tilde{E}^\alpha x \cdot x \quad , \quad \tilde{E}^\alpha = \mathbb{1}^u \otimes \mathbb{1}^v - (E_{uv}^\alpha)^{-1} E^\alpha \quad , \quad \alpha = 1 \dots 3 \quad , \quad (82)$$

eliminating it from the remaining relations. If there exists some ordering of the generators where we get in this way a system which allows for the replacement of the three anti-ordered products, e.g. x^3x^2 , x^3x^1 and x^2x^1 in cases A, B and D, we say that the relations allow for an alphabetic ordering. The orderings of generators given above are just chosen that this is the case. In case C one can show that this is not possible, i.e. there exist no nondegenerate matrices m and n such that the three relations $m_\gamma^\alpha E_{kl}^\gamma$ with an ordering $n_i^1 x^i < n_j^2 x^j < n_k^3 x^k$ lead to appropriate substitution rules.

By the Diamond Lemma, a quantum plane which allows for an alphabetical ordering has the classical Poincaré series if the ambiguously orderable triple products, e.g. in cases A, B and D $x^3x^2x^1$ which can be ordered either by starting with x^3x^2 or with x^2x^1 , corresponds to a unique ordered expression. This we verified for all respective planes and coplanes to our solutions for the above orderings. We observe that the coplane of a quantum group always accepts the ordering opposite to that of the plane.

For the group generators we have the relations

$$E^\alpha (A \cdot A) S_{ij} = 0 \quad , \quad S^{ij} (A \cdot A) F_\alpha = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad , \quad (83)$$

where $S_{kl}^{ij} = \delta_k^i \delta_l^j - A_{kl}^{ij} = \delta_k^i \delta_l^j - E_{kla} X_b^a F^{bij}$ is the symmetrizer. We use the following orderings:

$$\begin{aligned} A_3^1 &< A_3^2 < A_3^3 < A_2^1 < A_2^2 < A_2^3 < A_1^1 < A_1^2 < A_1^3 && \text{(solutions A, B, D)} \\ A_1^3 &< A_1^2 < A_1^1 < A_2^3 < A_2^2 < A_2^1 < A_3^3 < A_3^2 < A_3^1 && \text{(solutions E, G)} \\ A_1^2 &< A_1^1 < A_1^3 < A_2^2 < A_2^1 < A_2^3 < A_3^2 < A_3^1 < A_3^3 && \text{(solution F)} \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

The ordering of the upper indices coincides here with that of the plane generators, for the lower indices with the coplane ones, having given higher lexical significance to the lower indices. Like for the planes we can rewrite relations (83) into a substitution system. By inspection it is proven that the above orderings just lead to substitution rules for the 36 anti-ordered squares. Finally we have to prove for $\binom{9}{3} = 84$ anti-ordered triple products of generators that ordering with this substitution system leads to a unique result. Then we conclude with the Diamond Lemma that the Poincaré series of the algebra of group generators coincides with that of 9 commuting variables. This can easily be done on a computer.

Let us consider the effect of twisting on the Poincaré series of the planes. Twisting with Z changes E^α into

$$E^\alpha \mapsto z_\beta^\alpha E^\beta (1 \otimes Z) \quad , \quad z_\beta^\alpha = e^{\alpha a} Z^{-1b}_a e_{b\beta} . \quad (85)$$

The condition that Z is an automorphism reads with E^α :

$$E^\alpha (Z \otimes Z) = z_\beta^\alpha E^\beta , \quad (86)$$

similar relations hold for F_β . The Poincaré series of the plane is determined by the linear dependences between the tensors $(1 \otimes \dots \otimes E^\alpha \otimes \dots \otimes 1)$, i.e. in the n -th order of the algebra, by the number of independent solutions of the equation

$$E_{i_1 i_2}^\alpha e_{\alpha i_3 \dots i_n}^{(1)} + E_{i_2 i_3}^\alpha e_{i_1 \alpha i_4 \dots i_n}^{(2)} + E_{i_{n-1} i_n}^\alpha e_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2} \alpha}^{(n-1)} . \quad (87)$$

For the twisted tensors $E^\alpha (1 \otimes Z)$ this equation becomes

$$E_{i_1 j}^\alpha Z_{i_2}^j e_{\alpha i_3 \dots i_n}^{(1)} + E_{i_2 j}^\alpha Z_{i_3}^j e_{i_1 \alpha i_4 \dots i_n}^{(2)} + E_{i_{n-1} j}^\alpha Z_{i_n}^j e_{i_1 \dots i_{n-2} \alpha}^{(n-1)} . \quad (88)$$

Multiplying the last equation with $(Z^{n-1} \otimes \dots \otimes Z^1 \otimes 1)$ and using (86) we see that the solutions of (87) and (88) are in 1-1 correspondence and the Poincaré series of twisted and untwisted plane coincide.

The relations of the group have the form $(1 \otimes \dots \otimes E^\alpha \otimes \dots \otimes 1) \otimes (1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_\beta \otimes \dots \otimes 1)$. The Poincaré series of the group is determined by linear dependences between relations with different positions of the tensors E and F and different values of α and β . These linear dependences however are like in the case of the planes not changed by twisting, since we can reduce the effect of twisting by the same manipulations as above to the multiplication of the different factors in the tensor product by increasing powers of Z , which can be removed by redefinition. Therefore the Poincaré series of the group as well is not changed by twisting.

A Appendix: R-Matrices

The following R-matrices are derived from the solutions in the section 4 by $\hat{R}_{kl}^{ij} = \delta_k^i \delta_l^j - (1+q) E_{klm} X_n^m F^{mij}$, with q a solution of $q^2 + q(1 - \text{tr}(XQ)) + 1 = 0$. In the cases $\text{tr}(XQ) = -3, 0, 1+u+u^{-1}$ we took $q = -1, \zeta_3^2, u$. Not all R-matrices are given here.

$$(A1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & \zeta_3^2 & & & & & & & \\ & & \zeta_3 & & & & & & \\ & & & \zeta_3 & & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & & \\ \zeta_3^2-1 & & & & & \zeta_3^2 & & & \\ & & & & & & \zeta_3^2 & & \\ \zeta_3-1 & & & & & & & \zeta_3 & \\ & \frac{1}{3}(\zeta_3^2-1) & \frac{1}{3}(\zeta_3-1) & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(A2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & -1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & \\ -1 & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (A3/A4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & & & \\ -1 & & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & 2 & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -2 & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ -1 & -\sigma & 1 & \sigma & & & & -1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\sigma = 0$ (A3) or 1 (A4)

$$(B1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & u/\nu & & & & & & & \\ & & \nu & & & & & & \\ & 1-u & & \nu & & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & u/\nu & & & \\ & & & & & & 1-u & & \\ & & & & & & & u/\nu & \\ & & & & & & & & \nu \\ & & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(B2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & \\ & u^{2/3} & & & & & & \\ & & u^{1/3} & & & & & \\ & & & 1-u & & & & \\ & 1-u & & u^{1/3} & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ u^{2/3} & & & & & u^{2/3} & & 1-u \\ & & & & & & u^{2/3} & \\ -1 & & & & & & & u^{1/3} \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(C1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} -2\zeta_9^2+\zeta_9-1 & & & & -\zeta_9^8-\zeta_9^6 & & -\zeta_9^6-\zeta_9^4 & & \\ & -\zeta_9^4-\zeta_9^2 & & \zeta_9^8-\zeta_9^7+\zeta_9^6 & & & & & -\zeta_9^6-\zeta_9^4 \\ & & \zeta_9^3 & & \zeta_9^7 & & \zeta_9^8-\zeta_9^7-\zeta_9^2-1 & & \\ & \zeta_9^6+\zeta_9^4-\zeta_9^2 & & -\zeta_9^4-\zeta_9^2 & & & & & -\zeta_9^5-\zeta_9^3 \\ & & -\zeta_9^8+\zeta_9^7+1 & & -\zeta_9^7+\zeta_9^6+\zeta_9^4 & & \zeta_9^5-\zeta_9^4+\zeta_9^3 & & \\ -\zeta_9^8+\zeta_9^7+1 & & & & \zeta_9^4-\zeta_9^3+\zeta_9^2 & & & \zeta_9^4 & \\ & & -\zeta_9^2-1 & & \zeta_9^2 & & \zeta_9^3 & & \\ \zeta_9^2-\zeta_9+1 & & & & \zeta_9^8-\zeta_9^7+\zeta_9^6+\zeta_9^4-1 & & \zeta_9^4-\zeta_9^3+\zeta_9^2 & & \\ & \zeta_9 & & \zeta_9^2 & & & & -\zeta_9^7+\zeta_9^3-\zeta_9^2-1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(D1/D2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & \gamma_3^2\zeta_3 & & & & & & & \\ & & \gamma_3\zeta_3^2 & & & & & & \\ & 1-\zeta_3^2 & & \gamma_3\zeta_3 & & & & & \\ & & -\sigma\gamma_3\zeta_3^2 & & 1 & & \sigma & & \\ \gamma_3^2\zeta_3^2 & & & & & \gamma_3^2\zeta_3^2 & & 1-\zeta_3^2 & \\ & & 1-\zeta_3^2 & & & & \gamma_3^2 & & \\ -1 & & & & & & & \gamma_3 & \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(E1/E2) \quad \sigma = 0 \text{ (D1) or } 1 \text{ (D2)}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \sigma\frac{1}{3}(\zeta_3-1) & & \sigma\frac{1}{3}(\zeta_3^{1/3}-\zeta_3^{4/3}) & & \\ & \zeta_3^{5/3} & & 1-\zeta_3^2 & & & & & \sigma\zeta_3^{5/3} \\ & & \zeta_3^{1/3} & & -1 & & & & \\ & & & \zeta_3^{1/3} & & & & & -\sigma \\ & & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & & \zeta_3^{8/3} & & & \\ & 1-\zeta_3^2 & & \zeta_3^{5/3} & & \zeta_3^{5/3} & & & \\ & & & & 1-\zeta_3^2 & & \zeta_3^{7/3} & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma = 1 \text{ (E1) or } 0 \text{ (E2)}$$

$$(F1/F2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & & \\ & 1 & & -\frac{1}{2} & & & \\ & & 1 & -\sigma & & & \\ & & & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ -2\nu & & 2\nu & \nu & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma = 0 \text{ (F1) or } 1 \text{ (F2)}$$

$$(F3/F4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{6} & & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{18} & & \\ & 1 & & -\frac{1}{3} & & & \\ & & 1 & -\sigma & \frac{1}{6} & -\frac{1}{2} & \\ & & & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & \sigma & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{6} \\ -2\nu & & 2\nu & \frac{2}{3}\nu & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma = 0 \text{ (F3) or } 1 \text{ (F4)}$$

$$(F5/F6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1-\nu & 1+\nu & -\nu(1+\nu) & & & \\ & 1 & & \nu & & & \\ & & 1 & -\sigma & -1-2\nu & & \\ & & & -\nu & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & \sigma & & 1 & 1+2\nu \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \sigma = 0 \text{ (F5) or } 1 \text{ (F6)}$$

$$(G2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & -1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & -1 & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & -1 \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & 1 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Acknowledgments

We thank D. I. Gurevich for introducing us to his results, and R. Coquereaux for comments on an early version of this paper. H. E. is grateful to M. Niedermaier and S. Theisen for fixing the English and some logic in this paper.

References

- [1] M. Artin and W. Schelter, Graded Algebras of Global Dimension 3, *Adv. Math.* **66** (1987) 171.
- [2] G.M.Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, *Adv.Math.* **29** (1978) 178.
- [3] C.H.Clemens, A Scrapbook of Complex Curve Theory, NY (Plenum) 1980.
D. Husemöller, Elliptic Curves, New York (Springer) 1987.
H. Weber, Algebra, 2 vols., Braunschweig (Vieweg) 1898/99.
- [4] E. E. Demidov, Yu. I. Manin, E. E. Mukhin and D. V. Zhdanovich, Nonstandard quantum deformations of $GL(n)$ and constant solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, *Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **102** (1990) 203.
- [5] H. Ewen, O. Ogievetsky and J. Wess, Quantum Matrices in Two Dimensions, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22** (1991) 297.
- [6] H. Ewen, O. Ogievetsky and J. Wess, Realizations and Real Forms of Quantum Groups in 2 Dimensions, *Proc. Int. Wigner Symp. Clausthal* 1991.
- [7] S.-M. Fei, H.-Y. Guo and H. Shi, Multiparameter Solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation, Preprint ASITP-91-48 and CCAST-91-48.
- [8] D. I. Gurevich, Algebraic Aspects of the Quantum Yang-Baxter Equation, *Leningrad Math. J.* **2** (1991) 801.
- [9] J. Hietarinta, All solutions to the constant Yang-Baxter equation in two dimensions, *Phys. Lett. A* **165** (1992) 245.
- [10] J. Hietarinta, The upper triangular solutions of the three-state constant quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Preprint TURKU-FL-P7.
- [11] O. Ogievetsky, Hopf structures on the Borel subalgebra of $sl(2)$, Preprint MPI-Ph/92-99 and CPT-92/P.2833.
- [12] N. Reshetikhin, Multiparameter Quantum Groups and Twisted Quasitriangular Hopf Algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **20** (1990) 331.

- [13] A. Sudbery, Consistent multiparametric quantization of $GL(n)$, Univ. York Preprint (1990).